AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200 SECTION: 64		
	Fiscal Year 2017-18		
	Agency Budget Plar		
	Form A – Summary		
RECURRING FUNDS (Form B	My agency is submitting the following recurring decision packages listed <u>in priority</u> <u>order</u> (Form B): 9747, 9708, 9761, 9764, 9767, 9770, 9773,		
DECISION PACKAGES)	For FY 2017-18, my agency is (mark "X"):		
	X Requesting a net increase in recurring General Fund appropriations.		
	Not requesting a net increase in recurring General Fund Appropriations.		
Capital & Non-recurring Funds	My agency is submitting the following one-time decision packages listed <u>in priority</u> order (Form C): 9776, 9779, 9782, 9785, 9788, 9791, 9797		
(FORM C	For FY 2017-18, my agency is (mark "X"):		
DECISION PACKAGES)	X Requesting capital and/or non-recurring funds.		
	Not requesting capital and/or non-recurring funds.		
	For FY 2017-18, my agency is (mark "X"):		
Provisos	Requesting a new proviso and/or substantive changes to existing provisos.		
(Form D)	Only requesting technical proviso changes (such as date references).		
	X Not requesting any proviso changes.		

Please identify your agency's preferred contacts for this year's budget process.

	Name	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Email</u>
PRIMARY CONTACT:	Lewis J. Swindler, Jr.	803-896-7779	USwindler@sccja.sc.gov
SECONDARY CONTACT:	Donald M. Lanier	803-896-7753	DMLanier@sccja.sc.gov

I have reviewed and approved the enclosed FY 2017-18 Agency Budget Plan, which is complete and accurate to the extent of my knowledge.

	Agency Director	Board or Complission Chair
SIGN/DATE:	Levis ?. Swidler & 2/27/16	Unaber 9/29/2016
Type/Print Name:	Director Lewis J. Swindler, Jr.	Chief Mark Keel

This form must be signed by the department head – not a delegate.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Lav	v Enforceme	ent Training Council
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	9747
	Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").
TITLE	Allocation of State Funds
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.
AMOUNT	\$40,258
	What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2017-18? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.
	2016-17 Appropriations Act #0284 of 2016(Rat #0275)
ENABLING AUTHORITY	
	What specific state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority? Please avoid citing general provisions of law where possible, and instead cite to the most specific legal authority supporting the request.
Factors Associated with the Request	 Mark "X" for all that apply: X (Base Adjustment) Allocation of statewide employee benefits. (Base Adjustment) Realignment within existing programs and lines. (Base Adjustment) Restructuring of agency programs – requires pre-approval. IT Technology/Security related Consulted DTO during development Related to a Non-Recurring request – If so, Decision Package # Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience. Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines. Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program. Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.
	 Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative. Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program. Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.

What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina La	w Enforceme	ent Training Council
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

Accountability of Funds	N/A
	What specific gaency objective, as outlined in the gaency's accountability report, does

POTENTIAL OFFSETS	There are currently no programs that could be offset to obtain funding
	For decision packages that request non-mandatory funding increases to programs or initiatives, please identify a potential offset within an existing lower priority or ineffective program(s).

Matching Funds	N/A
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source, amount, and terms of the match requirement.

Funding Alternatives	N/A
	What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina	a Law Enforcement T	raining Council
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64
Summary	This is the amount of State approassociated with increases In FY 2	•	-
	provide a summary of the ra requested? How specifically w	tionale for the decisi would the requested fu for information technology	d decision regarding this request, on package. Why has it been unds be used? If the request is ogy, explain its relationship to the
METHOD OF CALCULATION	3.25 Base Pay Increase; 0.5% Re Insurance Increase	tirement Rate Increase	; and Health and Dental
		could cause deviation	the per unit or per FTE costs of the between the request and the erform the underlying work?
FUTURE IMPACT	N/A		
	decision package? What imp	act will there be on not honored? Has a	her obligations by adopting this future capital and/or operating source of any such funds been

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200 SECTION: 64		
Prioritization	N/A		
	If no or insufficient new funds are available in order to meet this need, how would the agency prefer to proceed? By using fund balances, generating new revenue, cutting other programs, or deferring action on this request in FY 2017-18? Please be specific.		
INTENDED IMPACT	N/A		
	What impact is this decision package intended to have on service delivery and program outcomes, and over what period of time?		
P ROGRAM EVALUATION	N/A How would the use of these funds be evaluated? What specific outcome or performance		

measures would be used to assess the effectiveness of this program?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	9708		
	Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").		
TITLE	Realignment of FTE's from Other Funds to State Funds		
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.		
AMOUNT	\$0		
	What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2017-18? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.		
ENABLING AUTHORITY	23-23-20 Created the Criminal Justice Academy and other statues established the training criteria.		
	What specific state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority? Please avoid citing general provisions of law where possible, and instead cite to the most specific legal authority supporting the request.		
	Mark "X" for all that apply:		
	(Base Adjustment) Allocation of statewide employee benefits.		
	X (Base Adjustment) Realignment within existing programs and lines.		
	(Base Adjustment) Restructuring of agency programs – <i>requires pre-approval</i> .		
	IT Technology/Security related		
Factors Associated	Consulted DTO during development Related to a Non-Recurring request – If so, Decision Package #		
WITH THE REQUEST	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.		
	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.		
	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.		
	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.		
	Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.		
	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.		
	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.		
RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	N/A		
	What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?		

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

	O2.1.2 & O2.2.2 – The FTE's the Academy is requesting to transfer relates to the instructor positions and these two objectives refer to basic and advanced training.
Accountability of Funds	
	What specific agency objective as outlined in the agency's accountability report does

Potential Offsets	There are currently no programs that could be offset to obtain funding
	For decision packages that request non-mandatory funding increases to programs or initiatives, please identify a potential offset within an existing lower priority or ineffective program(s).

Matching Funds	N/A
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source, amount, and terms of the match requirement.

Funding Alternatives	N/A
	What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please

B-6

comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council				
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64		
SUMMARY	The Academy received fundin replaced with General Funds.	g from a \$5 Surcharge whic At the time, some of the FT . The Academy wishes to tr would allow the Academy eneral Funds and allow for	h sunset June 30, 2016 and was E's were transferred from ransfer 32 additional FTE's to be to have all Class 1 Certified greater stability due to fund		
	provide a summary of the requested? How specifically	rationale for the decisior would the requested fun y or information technolog	decision regarding this request, a package. Why has it been ds be used? If the request is y, explain its relationship to the		
Method of Calculation	There is no request for fundin operating to personnel and er	•	uest to realign funds from		
	-	ors could cause deviations	he per unit or per FTE costs of between the request and the form the underlying work?		
FUTURE IMPACT	The future impact would be o type of increase in salary or be	•	a cost of living increase or other		
	decision package? What in	npact will there be on fu is not honored? Has a s	er obligations by adopting this iture capital and/or operating source of any such funds been		

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council				
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64		
Prioritization	Funds will be changed from operational funds to personal service and employer contribution funds.				
	If no or insufficient new funds agency prefer to proceed? By other programs, or deferring ac	using fund balances, ge	nerating new revenue, cutting		
INTENDED IMPACT	There should be no impact on service delivery since this is a realignment of FTE's and funding is available by changing from operating to personnel and employer contributions.				
	What impact is this decision pa outcomes, and over what period	-	n service delivery and program		
Program Evaluation	There should be no performance How would the use of these fun				

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	9761		
	Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").		
TITLE	Federal Grant Fund Authorization Increase		
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.		
AMOUNT	\$101,000		
	What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2017-18? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.		
ENABLING AUTHORITY	Grants are provided by National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) to SC Department of Public Safety and SC Criminal Justice Academy is a sub-recipient.		
	What specific state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority? Please avoid citing general provisions of law where possible, and instead cite to the most specific legal authority supporting the request.		
	Mark "X" for all that apply:		
	(Base Adjustment) Allocation of statewide employee benefits.		
	X (Base Adjustment) Realignment within existing programs and lines.		
(Base Adjustment) Restructuring of agency programs – requires pre-app			
	IT Technology/Security related		
	Consulted DTO during development		
FACTORS ASSOCIATED	Related to a Non-Recurring request – If so, Decision Package #		
WITH THE REQUEST	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.		
	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.		
	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.		
	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.		
	Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.		
	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.		
	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.		
RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Employee Salaries, Fringes, Vendors		
	What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing		

formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

Accountability of Funds	O2.1.2 – Increase the number of Basic Law Enforcement Officers graduating and receiving certification. These funds are used for Traffic Safety programs and Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) seminars and training sessions.
----------------------------	---

Potential Offsets	There are currently no programs that could be offset to obtain funding
	For decision packages that request non-mandatory funding increases to programs or initiatives, please identify a potential offset within an existing lower priority or ineffective program(s).

Matching Funds	N/A
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source, amount, and terms of the match requirement.

Funding Alternatives	N/A
	What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please

B-10

comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council			
AGENCY CODE:	N200 SECTION: 64			
Summary	The Academy currently has authorization for \$500,000 for Federal Grants. Based upon the grants authorized for the upcoming Federal year, the total awarded was \$412,370 for the Traffic Safety grant and \$188,591 for the Drug Recognition grant for a total of \$600,961. This request is a formal request in increased authorization spending.			
	Using as much detail as necessary to make an informed decision regarding this request, provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used? If the request is related to information security or information technology, explain its relationship to the agency's security or technology plan.			
METHOD OF CALCULATION	The amount of the increase in spending authorization was based on the increase in the award amount on the two grants used by the Agency. Current authorization is \$500,000 and the increased award amount is \$600,961.			
	How was the amount of the request calculated? List the per unit or per FTE costs of implementation. What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?			
FUTURE IMPACT	The State should not have any future impact on operating budgets unless these grants are canceled in which case, for the programs to be continued, they would have to revert to either General Funds or Other Funds.			
	Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?			

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council			
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64	
Prioritization	These are Federal funds and if spe amount available for spending on		ranted, it would restrict the	
	If no or insufficient new funds are agency prefer to proceed? By us other programs, or deferring action	sing fund balances, ge	nerating new revenue, cutting	
INTENDED IMPACT	The amounts available would allow number of officers participating in			
	What impact is this decision packed outcomes, and over what period of	-	n service delivery and program	
Program Evaluation	The outcome would allow more of by the utilization of these Federal How would the use of these funds	Funds – Traffic Safety a	nd Drug Recognition.	

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	9764			
	Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").			
Τιτιε	Instructor Salary Realignment			
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.			
AMOUNT	\$246,695			
	What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2017-18? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.			
ENABLING AUTHORITY	23-23-20 Created the Criminal Justice Academy and other statues established the training criteria.			
	What specific state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority? Please avoid citing general provisions of law where possible, and instead cite to the most specific legal authority supporting the request.			
	Mark "X" for all that apply:			
	(Base Adjustment) Allocation of statewide employee benefits.			
	(Base Adjustment) Realignment within existing programs and lines.			
	(Base Adjustment) Restructuring of agency programs – <i>requires pre-approval</i> .			
	IT Technology/Security related			
	Consulted DTO during development			
FACTORS ASSOCIATED	Related to a Non-Recurring request – If so, Decision Package #			
WITH THE REQUEST	X Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.			
	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.			
	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.			
	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.			
	Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.			
	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program. Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.			

RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Instructional Staff
	What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing

B-13

formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

Accountability of Funds	O2.1.2 & O2.2.2 – The FTE's the Academy is requesting to realign the salaries for the instructor positions and these two objectives refer to basic and advanced training.
	What specific agency objective as outlined in the agency's accountability report does

Potential Offsets	There are currently no programs that could be offset to obtain funding
	For decision packages that request non-mandatory funding increases to programs or initiatives, please identify a potential offset within an existing lower priority or ineffective program(s).

Matching Funds	N/A
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source, amount, and terms of the match requirement.
Funding Alternatives	The Academy receives the majority of its funding from Fees & Fines revenue which has been declining for the past few years. If this funding source were to return to its previous levels, some of the funding would be available for this project.
	What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council			
AGENCY CODE:	N200 Section: 64			
Summary	Currently the Academy attempts to hire instructors who minimally have a Class 1 certification, completed 4 years of college, Basic Instructor certification, at least 5 years law enforcement experience and additional certifications in their instructional field. Given these requirements, the Academy is having a difficult time attracting viable candidates as well as experiencing a loss of instructors who have taken jobs in other law enforcement agencies with higher pay. The issue of stagnant compensation options with no structured pay advancement for existing staff is a problem when attempting to attract new employees and retain current staff.			
	Using as much detail as necessary to make an informed decision regarding this request, provide a summary of the rationale for the decision package. Why has it been requested? How specifically would the requested funds be used? If the request is related to information security or information technology, explain its relationship to the agency's security or technology plan.			
METHOD OF CALCULATION	Based on current staffing levels and years of experience, the base salary was increased to a minimum of \$45,000 with additional step increases based upon the experience level and program responsibilities. Other than the base salary adjustment, additional staff salaries were realigned due to compression issues (worksheets attached).			
	How was the amount of the request calculated? List the per unit or per FTE costs of implementation. What factors could cause deviations between the request and the amount that could ultimately be required in order to perform the underlying work?			
Future Impact	There would be only minimal impact on future budgets if this request is honored based on a salary adjustment based on years of experience and program responsibilities which could probably be absorbed in the current budget.			
	Will the state incur any maintenance-of-effort or other obligations by adopting this decision package? What impact will there be on future capital and/or operating budgets if this request is or is not honored? Has a source of any such funds been identified and/or obtained by your agency?			

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council			
AGENCY CODE:	N200	Section:	64	
Prioritization	If no sufficient funds are available to meet this need, the Academy would continue to hire replacement staff as employees leave for higher paying positions in other law enforcement agencies and the Academy would have to use less qualified instructors.			
	If no or insufficient new funds ar agency prefer to proceed? By a other programs, or deferring actio	using fund balances, g	enerating new revenue, cutting	
INTENDED IMPACT	The impact of this proposal would be to maintain a consistent instructional staff with minimal turnover which would save funds by not having to continually hire and train new instructors.			
	What impact is this decision pack outcomes, and over what period o	-	on service delivery and program	
Program Evaluation	The outcome would be evaluated compared with the continual turr			

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina	Law Enforceme	nt Training Council
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	9767		
	Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").		
Τιτιε	Law Enforcement Psychological Screening		
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.		
AMOUNT	\$550,400		
	What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2017-18? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.		
ENABLING AUTHORITY	23-23-40 outlines the requirements of training and certification for all Law Enforcement Officers in South Carolina. For the FY 2015-2016 session, bills were introduced in both Houses to codify psychological testing for potential Law Enforcement Officers. H4428; H4468; S0958		
	What specific state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority? Please avoid citing general provisions of law where possible, and instead cite to the most specific legal authority supporting the request.		
	Mark "X" for all that apply:		
	(Base Adjustment) Allocation of statewide employee benefits.		
	(Base Adjustment) Realignment within existing programs and lines.		
	(Base Adjustment) Restructuring of agency programs – <i>requires pre-approval</i> .		
	IT Technology/Security related		
	Consulted DTO during development		
	Related to a Non-Recurring request – If so, Decision Package #		
WITH THE REQUEST	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.		
	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.		
	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program. Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.		
	X Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.		
	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.		
	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.		
RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	These funds would be disbursed to each agency as reimbursement for payments made to the certified psychologist or psychiatrist.		
	What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?		

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

Accountability of Funds	O2.1.2 – Increases in the numbers of Basic Law Enforcement Officers graduating and receiving certification. Having to pass a psychological screening prior to being hired by an agency would eliminate some of the candidates who are not suited for law enforcement careers.
----------------------------	---

Potential Offsets	There are currently no programs that could be offset to obtain funding.
	For decision packages that request non-mandatory funding increases to programs or initiatives, please identify a potential offset within an existing lower priority or ineffective program(s).

Matching Funds	No available matching funding
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source, amount, and terms of the match requirement.

FUNDING ALTERNATIVES An alternative method would be to not reimburse the agencies utilizing the psychological services by requiring the individual agencies to use their own funding. This alternative would not be available to many agencies due to their already tight budgets. What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council			
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64	
Summary	While some agencies require some type of psychological testing prior to hiring an officer, many have no such requirement to determine if an applicant is suited for the job. This program will provide a mechanism to enable agencies to acquire a psychological screening of applicants during the conditional offer phase of the hiring process to ensure suitability for employment before the individual is hired. This request may require enabling legislation to make this mandatory.			
	provide a summary of the requested? How specifically	rationale for the decisio would the requested fu y or information technolog	d decision regarding this request, on package. Why has it been nds be used? If the request is gy, explain its relationship to the	
METHOD OF CALCULATION	a candidate, they are required having at least a 10% failure r necessary to test an average of screening is \$350 with a total	ally there is a 20% failure r I to pass the screening, the ate. Therefore, if the rates of 1,456 potential students cost of \$509,600 to be rei handle the administrative	ate. If prior to granting a slot for ere would be a potential of remain constant, it would be 5. The cost for each test mbursed. This would also e duties with a salary of \$30,000	
		ors could cause deviation	the per unit or per FTE costs of s between the request and the form the underlying work?	
FUTURE IMPACT	Due to the number of student remain fairly constant unless process.			
	decision package? What in	npact will there be on j is not honored? Has a	her obligations by adopting this future capital and/or operating source of any such funds been	

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council			
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64	
Prioritization	If funding is not available, the Academy would continue with the current system which does not ensure that all candidates are being adequately screened prior to attending the Academy and receiving certification.			
	If no or insufficient new funds are agency prefer to proceed? By us other programs, or deferring action	ing fund balances, ge	enerating new revenue, cutting	
INTENDED IMPACT	This package is intended to ascertain if a potential law enforcement officer candidate if suited for a law enforcement career thereby saving the hiring agency funds from hiring and paying an officer who is not suitable and saving the Academy funding by not having to expend resources training someone who is unsuitable.			
	What impact is this decision packo outcomes, and over what period of	-	on service delivery and program	
Program Evaluation	The outcome of this initiative is to not only the training to do the job Effectiveness will be determined b for law enforcement behavior and	but the proper tempe y monitoring law enfo	rament and attitude as well.	

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina	Law Enforceme	nt Training Council
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	9770		
	Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").		
TITLE	Mobile Training Teams		
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.		
AMOUNT	\$1,209,952		
	What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2017-18? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.		
ENABLING AUTHORITY	23-23-50 and Regulation 38-013 require a minimum of 40 hours of Continuing Law Enforcement Education Credits in order to maintain their certifications every subsequent 3 year period from the start of their initial certification.		
	What specific state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority? Please avoid citing general provisions of law where possible, and instead cite to the most specific legal authority supporting the request.		
	Mark "X" for all that apply:		
	(Base Adjustment) Allocation of statewide employee benefits.		
	(Base Adjustment) Realignment within existing programs and lines.		
	(Base Adjustment) Restructuring of agency programs – <i>requires pre-approval</i> .		
	IT Technology/Security related		
	Consulted DTO during development		
FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH THE REQUEST	 X Related to a Non-Recurring request – If so, Decision Package # <u>9779</u> Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience. 		
	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines.		
	Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.		
	Non-mandated program change in service levels or areas.		
	X Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.		
	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.		
	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.		
RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Employees working on the Mobile Training Team, Vendors		
	What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?		

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200 Section: 64		
Accountability of Funds	O2.2.2 – Increasing the advanced training classes stressing contemporary issues with an addition of the Mobile Training Team model. This enables the Academy to address many issues related to law enforcement in specific and general areas to be able to measure on-going compliance and competence with critical skills essential to operational success.		
	What specific agency objective, as outlined in the agency's accountability report, does this funding request support? How would this request advance that objective?		
POTENTIAL OFFSETS There are currently no programs that could be offset to obtain funding.	There are currently no programs that could be offset to obtain funding.		
	For decision packages that request non-mandatory funding increases to programs or initiatives, please identify a potential offset within an existing lower priority or ineffective program(s).		
Matching Funds	No available matching funding		
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source, amount, and terms of the match requirement.		
Funding Alternatives	The Academy receives the majority of its funding from Fees & Fines revenue which has been declining for the past few years. If this funding source were to return to its previous levels, some of the funding would be available for this project.		
	What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.		

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council			
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64	
Summary	Too often, law enforcement officers demonstrate behavior that is not consistent with the knowledge, skills, and abilities they were required to learn and demonstrate when they attended the Academy for initial certification. As time lapses between initial training and field operations there is a concern that some knowledge, skills and abilities might be forgotten or altered. Providing training that refocuses the officers on training objectives that are critical to ensuring best practices as they relate to street level operations will improve service and officer safety.			
	provide a summary of the requested? How specifically	rationale for the decisic would the requested fu or information technolo	d decision regarding this request, on package. Why has it been nds be used? If the request is gy, explain its relationship to the	
METHOD OF CALCULATION	_		(one team per region), one Unit D0 (18 FTE's) and annual travel	
	-	rs could cause deviation	the per unit or per FTE costs of s between the request and the form the underlying work?	
FUTURE IMPACT	The only future cost anticipate replacement of the vehicles. B absorbed by the fees and fines	ased on the cost of the ve	chicles, the cost could be	
	decision package? What in	npact will there be on j is not honored? Has a	ner obligations by adopting this future capital and/or operating source of any such funds been	

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council			
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64	
Prioritization	If funding is not available, the Academy would continue with the current system which does not ensure that all law enforcement officers do not have on-going reviews of tactics, procedures and social interaction skills that are required to be successful in today's environment.			
		By using fund balances, g	meet this need, how would the enerating new revenue, cutting 2017-18? Please be specific.	
INTENDED IMPACT	This refresher training will be or recertification cycle to ensure Additionally, any new trends or community will be addressed. annually attached).	the officers are utilizing be r concerns which are occu	est practice and procedures. rring with the law enforcement	
	What impact is this decision p outcomes, and over what perio	-	on service delivery and program	
Program Evaluation	the line officers in the field du	ring the training events in	to receive direct feedback from order to review current ddress identified training needs.	

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	9773		
	Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").		
TITLE	Expansion of Training		
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.		
AMOUNT	\$964,840		
	What is the net change in requested appropriations for FY 2017-18? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF across all funding sources.		
ENABLING AUTHORITY	23-23-20 Created the Criminal Justice Academy and other statues established the training criteria.		
	What specific state or federal statutory, regulatory, and/or administrative authority established this program? Is this decision package prompted by the establishment of or a revision to that authority? Please avoid citing general provisions of law where possible, and instead cite to the most specific legal authority supporting the request.		
	Mark "X" for all that apply:		
	(Base Adjustment) Allocation of statewide employee benefits.		
	(Base Adjustment) Realignment within existing programs and lines.		
	(Base Adjustment) Restructuring of agency programs – <i>requires pre-approval</i> .		
	IT Technology/Security related		
-	Consulted DTO during development		
FACTORS ASSOCIATED	X Related to a Non-Recurring request – If so, Decision Package # <u>9782</u>		
WITH THE REQUEST	Change in cost of providing current services to existing program audience.		
	Change in case load / enrollment under existing program guidelines. Non-mandated change in eligibility / enrollment for existing program.		
	Non-mandated change in englowity / enrolment for existing program.		
	X Proposed establishment of a new program or initiative.		
	Loss of federal or other external financial support for existing program.		
	Exhaustion of fund balances previously used to support program.		
RECIPIENTS OF FUNDS	Instructional Staff, Kitchen Staff and Inmate Workers through Salaries and Fringes. Vendors would receive the remainder due increase in food and lodging expenses.		
	What individuals or entities would receive these funds (contractors, vendors, grantees, individual beneficiaries, etc.)? How would these funds be allocated – using an existing formula, through a competitive process, based upon predetermined eligibility criteria?		

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

Accountability of Funds	ıd
----------------------------	----

Potential Offsets	There are currently no programs that could be offset to obtain funding.
	For decision packages that request non-mandatory funding increases to programs or initiatives, please identify a potential offset within an existing lower priority or ineffective program(s).

Matching Funds	No available matching funding
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source, amount, and terms of the match requirement.
	The Academy receives the majority of its funding from Fees & Fines revenue which has

	The riducing receives the majority of its funding norm receiver at mesherenae inner has
	been declining for the past few years. If this funding source were to return to its
FUNDING	previous levels, some of the funding would be available for this project.
ALTERNATIVES	

What other possible funding sources were considered? Could this request be met in whole or in part with the use of other resources, including fund balances? If so, please comment on the sustainability of such an approach.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council			
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64	
Summary	skills, de-escalation, cultural a application of this training as instructor group called the Pr	or training that addresses awareness and situational well as best practice in ta actical Applications Unit v nd facilitating the situation	interpersonal communication training exercises to reinforce ctics and procedures. A new	
	provide a summary of the requested? How specifically	rationale for the decisi would the requested fu ty or information technolo	d decision regarding this request, on package. Why has it been unds be used? If the request is ogy, explain its relationship to the	
Method of Calculation	_	es and fringes for instructo	17,000; pay for inmate workers in or staff is \$336,000; and cost for is \$371,840.	
	-	ors could cause deviation	the per unit or per FTE costs of the between the request and the erform the underlying work?	
FUTURE IMPACT		enefits. Other potential ir	s a cost of living increase or other npacts could result based upon	
	decision package? What i	mpact will there be on is not honored? Has a	her obligations by adopting this future capital and/or operating source of any such funds been	

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council				
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64		
Prioritization	If funding is not available, the Academy would continue with the current system which does not ensure that all law enforcement officers do not have on-going reviews of tactics, procedures and social interaction skills that are required to be successful in today's environment.				
		By using fund balances, g	meet this need, how would the enerating new revenue, cutting 2017-18? Please be specific.		
INTENDED IMPACT	These additional three weeks utilizing best practice and proc are occurring with the law enf	cedures. Additionally, any i	new trends or concerns which		
	What impact is this decision p outcomes, and over what perio	-	on service delivery and program		
Program Evaluation	the line officers in the field du	ring the training events in	to receive direct feedback from order to review current ddress identified training needs.		

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy		
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	9776		
	Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").		
TITLE	IT Equipment Required for DIT & CJIS Security		
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.		
AMOUNT	\$192,000		
	How much is requested for this project in FY 2017-18?		
BUDGET PROGRAM	IV. Non-recurring Appropriations		
	Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.		
	Mark "X" for all that apply:		
	IT Technology/Security related		
	X Consulted DTO during development		
FACTORS ASSOCIATED	Related to a Recurring request – If so, Decision Package #		
WITH THE REQUEST	Capital Request		
	Included in CPIP – If so, CPIP Priority #		
	X Non-recurring request for funding		
	Non-recurring request for authorization to spend existing cash/revenue		

Summary Brovide a summary of the project and explain why it is pecessary.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy		
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64

Classification of Funds	This is a standalone one-time request for non-recurring funds.
	Is this request in support of a capital project or is it in support of other non-recurring expenditures? If this request is for a capital project, is it included in the agency's CPIP (please include CPIP year and priority)? How does this project rank in priority to all other nonrecurring agency requests?
MATCHING FUNDS	N/A
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.
Funding Alternatives	The Academy receives the majority of its funding from Fees & Fines revenue which has been declining for the past few years. If this funding source were to return to its previous levels, there would be sufficient funding for this project.
	What other possible funding sources were considered?
Long-Term Planning and Sustainability	N/A
	What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?
OTHER APPROVALS	N/A
	What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy		
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	9779		
	Pro	vide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").	
TITLE	Clot	thing & Equipment for Mobile Training Teams	
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.		
AMOUNT	\$270,869		
	How much is requested for this project in FY 2017-18?		
BUDGET PROGRAM	IV. Non-recurring Appropriations		
	Idei	ntify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.	
	Mark "X" for all that apply:		
		IT Technology/Security related	
		Consulted DTO during development	
FACTORS ASSOCIATED	Х	Related to a Recurring request – If so, Decision Package #9770	
WITH THE REQUEST		Capital Request	
		Included in CPIP – If so, CPIP Priority #	
	Х	Non-recurring request for funding	
		Non-recurring request for authorization to spend existing cash/revenue	

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy		
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64

Classification of Funds	This is a standalone one-time request for non-recurring funds.
	Is this request in support of a capital project or is it in support of other non-recurring expenditures? If this request is for a capital project, is it included in the agency's CPIP (please include CPIP year and priority)? How does this project rank in priority to all other nonrecurring agency requests?
MATCHING FUNDS	N/A
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.
Funding Alternatives	The Academy receives the majority of its funding from Fees & Fines revenue which has been declining for the past few years. If this funding source were to return to its previous levels, there would be sufficient funding for this project.
	What other possible funding sources were considered?
Long-Term Planning and Sustainability	N/A
	What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?
OTHER APPROVALS	N/A
	What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy		
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	9782		
	Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").		
TITLE	Clothing & Equipment for BLE Expansion		
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.		
AMOUNT	\$ 41,875		
	How much is requested for this project in FY 2017-18?		
BUDGET PROGRAM	IV. Non-recurring Appropriations		
	Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.		
	Mark "X" for all that apply:		
	IT Technology/Security related		
	Consulted DTO during development		
FACTORS ASSOCIATED	X Related to a Recurring request – If so, Decision Package #9773		
WITH THE REQUEST	Capital Request		
	Included in CPIP – If so, CPIP Priority #		
	X Non-recurring request for funding		
	Non-recurring request for authorization to spend existing cash/revenue		

Summary	This request is to equip the outfit and equip the personnel in conjunction with the expansion of the Basic Law Enforcement Training. The amount required for outfitting the instructors and their supervisor is \$15,075 while the cost for additional kitchen equipment and utensils is \$26,800.
SUMMARY	

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy		
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64

Classification of Funds	This is a standalone one-time request for non-recurring funds.
	Is this request in support of a capital project or is it in support of other non-recurring expenditures? If this request is for a capital project, is it included in the agency's CPIP (please include CPIP year and priority)? How does this project rank in priority to all other nonrecurring agency requests?
MATCHING FUNDS	N/A
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.
Funding Alternatives	The Academy receives the majority of its funding from Fees & Fines revenue which has been declining for the past few years. If this funding source were to return to its previous levels, there would be sufficient funding for this project.
	What other possible funding sources were considered?
Long-Term Planning and Sustainability	N/A
	What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?
OTHER APPROVALS	N/A
	What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy		
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	9785		
	Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").		
TITLE	Physical Security Upgrade		
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.		
AMOUNT	\$273,329		
	How much is requested for this project in FY 2017-18?		
BUDGET PROGRAM	IV. Non-recurring Appropriations		
	Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.		
	Mark "X" for all that apply:		
	IT Technology/Security related		
	Consulted DTO during development		
FACTORS ASSOCIATED	Related to a Recurring request – If so, Decision Package #		
WITH THE REQUEST	X Capital Request		
	X Included in CPIP – If so, CPIP Priority # <u>1</u>		
	X Non-recurring request for funding		
	Non-recurring request for authorization to spend existing cash/revenue		

	This request is to upgrade the security in the receptionist's area as well as gain the ability to secure the two sets of doors immediately behind the receptionist, thus limiting general access to the Academy until properly cleared. Additionally, there are numerous gaps in our security surveillance camera coverage which we propose to close with the installation of additional surveillance cameras throughout the entire campus which encompasses some 293 acres.
SUMMARY	

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy
AGENCY CODE:	N20 Section: 64
Classification of Funds	This is a standalone one-time request for non-recurring funds.
	Is this request in support of a capital project or is it in support of other non-recurring expenditures? If this request is for a capital project, is it included in the agency's CPIP (please include CPIP year and priority)? How does this project rank in priority to all other nonrecurring agency requests?
MATCHING FUNDS	N/A
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.
Funding Alternatives	The Academy receives the majority of its funding from Fees & Fines revenue which has been declining for the past few years. If this funding source were to return to its previous levels, there would be sufficient funding for this project.
	What other possible funding sources were considered?
Long-Term Planning and Sustainability	N/A
	What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?
OTHER APPROVALS	N/A
	What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy		
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	978	8		
	Prov	vide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").		
TITLE	HVA	AC Upgrade		
	Prov	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.		
AMOUNT	\$65	9,853		
	Нои	w much is requested for this project in FY 2017-18?		
BUDGET PROGRAM	IV. Non-recurring Appropriations			
	Ider	ntify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.		
	Mai	Mark "X" for all that apply:		
		IT Technology/Security related		
		Consulted DTO during development		
FACTORS ASSOCIATED		Related to a Recurring request – If so, Decision Package #		
WITH THE REQUEST	Х	Capital Request		
	Х	Included in CPIP – If so, CPIP Priority # <u>2</u>		
	Х	Non-recurring request for funding		
		Non-recurring request for authorization to spend existing cash/revenue		

With funds granted by the Legislature in recent years, we have overhauled the HVAC systems in the main Academy building and three of our oldest dorms. This request is to upgrade the HVAC systems in Buildings #8, #10, #11, & #12. Each of these large buildings dates from the mid-1980's and the HVAC systems have exceeded their life expectancy.

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy		
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64

Classification of Funds	This is a standalone one-time request for non-recurring funds.
	Is this request in support of a capital project or is it in support of other non-recurring expenditures? If this request is for a capital project, is it included in the agency's CPIP (please include CPIP year and priority)? How does this project rank in priority to all other nonrecurring agency requests?
MATCHING FUNDS	N/A
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.
Funding Alternatives	The Academy receives the majority of its funding from Fees & Fines revenue which has been declining for the past few years. If this funding source were to return to its previous levels, there would be sufficient funding for this project if it were spread over several years.
	What other possible funding sources were considered?
Long-Term Planning and Sustainability	N/A
	What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?
OTHER APPROVALS	N/A
	What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy		
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	979	1		
	Pro	vide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").		
TITLE	Din	Dining Hall Furniture		
	Pro	vide a brief, descriptive title for this request.		
AMOUNT	\$51	.,975		
	Hov	w much is requested for this project in FY 2017-18?		
BUDGET PROGRAM	IV. Non-recurring Appropriations			
	Ider	ntify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.		
	Mark "X" for all that apply:			
		IT Technology/Security related		
		Consulted DTO during development		
FACTORS ASSOCIATED		Related to a Recurring request – If so, Decision Package #		
WITH THE REQUEST		Capital Request		
	Х	Included in CPIP – If so, CPIP Priority # <u>3</u>		
	Х	Non-recurring request for funding		
		Non-recurring request for authorization to spend existing cash/revenue		

	The current dining hall furniture is 27 years old and due to continuous use by staff and students, it is need of replacement.
SUMMARY	
	Description and analysis when it is a second structure it is

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy		
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64

Classification of Funds	This is a standalone one-time request for non-recurring funds.
	Is this request in support of a capital project or is it in support of other non-recurring expenditures? If this request is for a capital project, is it included in the agency's CPIP (please include CPIP year and priority)? How does this project rank in priority to all other nonrecurring agency requests?
Matching Funds	N/A
	Would these funds be matched by federal, institutional, philanthropic, or other resources? If so, identify the source and amount.
Funding Alternatives	The Academy receives the majority of its funding from Fees & Fines revenue which has been declining for the past few years. If this funding source were to return to its previous levels, there would be sufficient funding for this project.
	What other possible funding sources were considered?
Long-Term Planning and Sustainability	N/A
	What other funds have already been invested in this project (source/type, amount, timeframe)? Will other capital and/or operating funds for this project be requested in the future? If so, how much, and in which fiscal years? Has a source for those funds been identified/secured?
OTHER APPROVALS	N/A
	What approvals have already been obtained? Are there additional approvals that must be secured in order for the project to succeed? (Institutional board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Academy		
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64

DECISION PACKAGE	9797		
	Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").		
TITLE	Paving Project		
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.		
ΑΜΟυΝΤ	\$520,905		
	How much is requested for this project in FY 2017-18?		
BUDGET PROGRAM	IV. Non-recurring Appropriations		
	Identify the associated budget program(s) by name and budget section.		
	Mark "X" for all that apply:		
	T Technology/Security related		
	Consulted DTO during development		
FACTORS ASSOCIATED	Related to a Recurring request – If so, Decision Package #		
WITH THE REQUEST	X Capital Request		
	X Included in CPIP – If so, CPIP Priority #4		
	X Non-recurring request for funding		
	Non-recurring request for authorization to spend existing cash/revenue		

	The parking areas of the Academy have not been resurfaced since construction in 1972 with the exception of the main entrance and one parking lot. The remaining lots are currently asphalt which is cracked, broken and developed potholes. Two of the parking lots are comprised of gravel and are being utilized due to growth over the years.
SUMMARY	
	Devide a summer of the ancient and evaluin why it is according to the according to

AGENCY NAME:		na Law Enforcement Trai	
AGENCY CODE:	N20	SECTION:	64
Classification of Funds	This is a standalone one-time	request for non-recurring f	unds.
	Is this request in support of a expenditures? If this request (please include CPIP year and nonrecurring agency request	is for a capital project, is it i priority)? How does this pr	
Matching Funds	N/A		
	Would these funds be mo resources? If so, identify the		ional, philanthropic, or other
Funding Alternatives	been declining for the past fe	w years. If this funding sour	ees & Fines revenue which has ree were to return to its project if it were spread over
	What other possible funding	sources were considered?	
Long-Term Planning and Sustainability	N/A		
	timeframe)? Will other capi	tal and/or operating funds	project (source/type, amount, for this project be requested in ? Has a source for those funds
OTHER APPROVALS	N/A		
	What approvals have alread be secured in order for the pr		additional approvals that must nal board, JBRC, BCB, etc.)

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

FORM E – 3% GENERAL FUND REDUCTION

DECISION PACKAGE	9800
	Provide the decision package number issued by the PBF system ("Governor's Request").
TITLE	Agency General Fund Reduction Analysis
	Provide a brief, descriptive title for this request.
AMOUNT	\$126,272
	What is the General Fund reduction amount (minimum based on the FY 2016-17 recurring appropriations)? This amount should correspond to the decision package's total in PBF.
METHOD OF CALCULATION	The 3% General Fund reduction was calculated based on the FY 2016-17 recurring appropriations as provided to the Agency by the Department of Administration in their spreadsheet.
	Describe the method of calculation for determining the reduction in General Funds.
Associated FTE Reductions	The Agency would be required to reduce the number of FTE's by two.
	How many FTEs would be reduced in association with this General Fund reduction?
Program/Activity Impact	One of the requests from the Agency this year is to realign the Instructor position FTE's from Other Funds to General Fund. This would allow for more stability with the instructional staff due to collection issues and uncertainty with fees and fines money. If no further adjustments are made, the reduction of these two FTE's could possibly disrupt some classes or lead to cancellation of some advanced classes.

What programs or activities are supported by the General Funds identified?

AGENCY NAME:	South Carolina Law Enforcement Training Council		
AGENCY CODE:	N200	SECTION:	64

SUMMARY	General Funds comprise 31.3% of the Academy's budget with the remaining amounts being funded by Other Funds and Federal Funds. To prevent having to curtail any of the instructional processes, the personnel utilizing the two eliminated General Fund FTE positions would have to be transferred to vacant positions (if available and reclassified) funded through Other Funds. The additional offset of this change would consist of reducing expenditures for operational cost including preventative maintenance or maintenance upgrades.
---------	---

Please provide a detailed summary of service delivery impact caused by a reduction in General Fund Appropriations.